

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Untied States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a)	PLAINTIFFS LOUIS DeVITO an	d CONSTANCE DeVITO,	h/w	DEFENDANTS HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,				
iivo.,					Succe	essor in interest to Be	ndix Corporat	tion
(b)	COUNTY OF RESIDENC	CE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF			COUNTY OF RESID	ENCE OF FIRST LISTED [EFENDANT MOF	RRIS COUNTY,
. ,		(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF O	CASES) NJ	NITY	NOTE	(IN U.S	PLAINTIFF CASE	ES ONLY)
			DELAWARE CO	UNIY	NOTE:	IN LAND CONDEMN LOCATION OF THE	TRACT OF LAND	INVOLVED
(c)	ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	ME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHON	NE NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KN	IOWN)		
(-)	Anapol, Schwartz, Weiss,	Cohan, Feldman & Smalley	,		Rawle & Henderson L	LP		
	1900 Delancey Place Philadelphia, PA 19103				The Widener Building One South Penn Squa	are		
	215-790-4572			'	Philadelphia, PA 1910 (215) 5	07 75-4200		
П.	BASIS OF ILIE	RISDICTION (PLACE AN	AVAIN ONE DOV ONLY		III. CITIZEN	SHIP OF PRINC	IDVI DVD.	TIES (DI AGE ANIA
	BASIS OF JUR	RISDICTION (PLACE AN	AX@IN ONE BOX ONLY)		(For Div	versity Cases Only) FOR PLA	INTIFF AND ONE	BOX FOR DEFEND
9 1 U.S	S. Government	X 3 Federal Question			PTF	DEF		PTFDEF
Pla	aintiff	(U.S. Government	t Not a Party)	Citizen of This	State 9 1	9 1 Incorporated or of Business in t	r Principal Place	9 494
9 2 U.S	S. Government	9 4 Diversity		Citizen of Anot	her State 9 2	9 2 Incorporated a	nd Principal Place	9 5 9 5
De	fendant	(Indicate Citizensi	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subj		of Business in Another 9 3 Foreign Nation		9 6 9 6
				Foreign Count	ry			
IV.	ORIGIN	(P	LACE AN AX® IN ONE	BOX ONLY)			Appeal to	
	9 1 Original	X 2 Removed from 9 3	Remanded from 9 4	Reinstated or 9	Transferred from another district	9 6 Multidistrict	9 7 Magistra	
	Proceeding			Reopened	(specify)	Litigation	Judgmer	
٧.	NATURE OF SU	IT (PLACE AN AX@ IN ON	E BOX ONLY)					
	CONTRACT TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER	OTHER STATUTES	
9 110	Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	9 610	Agriculture	9 422 Appeal	9 400	State
	Marine	9 310 Airplane	9 362 Personal Injur		Other Food&Drug		1	Ciaio
0		0				0		Reapportionm ent
	Miller Act Negotiable Instrument	9 315 Airplane Product Liability	9 365 Med Malpract Personal Injur		Drug Related Seizure of Prop-	9 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	9 410 9 430	Antitrust Banks and
9 150	Recovery of Overpayment	9 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liabil	ity	erty 21, USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	9 450	Banking
								Commerce/IC C Rates/etc.
	& Enforcement of Judgment	9 330 Slander Federal Employers=	9 368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	9 820 Copyrights	9 460 9 470	Deportation Racketeer
9 151	Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	9 650	Airline Regs	9 830 Patent	7 470	Influenced and Corrupt
9 152	Recovery of Defaulted	9 340 Marine	Liability	9 660	Occupational	9 840 Trademark	9 810	Organizations Selective
, .02	Student Loans	9 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERT		Safety/Health	2 0 10 Trademan	9 850	Service
							-	Securities/Co
0	(Excl. Veterans)	Liability	9 370 Other Fraud	9 690		SOCIAL SECURITY	0	mmodities/ Exchange
9 153	Recovery of Overpayment	9 350 Motor Vehicle 9 355 Motor Vehicle	9 371 Truth in Lendi9 380 Other Persona		BOR	9 861 HIA (1395ff)	9 875	Customer Challenge
9 160	of Veteran=s Benefits Stockholders= Suits	9 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	9 380 Other Persona Property Dam		Fair Labor	9 861 HIA (1395ff) 9 862 Black Lung (92	<u>9</u> 891	12 USC 3410 Agricultural Acts
9 190	Other Contract	9 360 Other Personal	9 385 Property Dam	age	Standards Act	9 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 9 892	Economic Stabilization Act
9 195	Contract Product Liability	Injury	Product Liabil	ity 9 720	Labor/Mgmt	9 864 SSID Title XVI	9 893	Environmental Matters
					Relations	9 865 RIS (405(g))	9 894	Energy Allocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	ons 9 730	Labor/Mgmt.		9 895	Freedom of
0			_		Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &	FEDERAL TAX SUIT	s	Information Act
9 210	Land Condemnation	9 441 Voting	9 510 Motions to Vac		Disclosure Act	9 870 Taxes (U.S. Pl	aintiff 9 900	Appeal of Fee Determina-
9 220 9 220	Foreclosure	9 442 Employment	Sentence	9 740	•	or Defendant)	arte e	tion Under Equal Access
9 230 9 240	Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	9 443 Housing/ Accommodations	9 530 Habeas Corpu 9 540 Mandamus & 0		Act Other Labor	9 871 IRS - Third Pa 26 USC 7609	rty 9 ₉₅₀	to Justice
								Constitutionali ty of
9 245 9 290	Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	9 444 Welfare 9 440 Other Civil Rights	9 550 Civil Rights	9 791	Litigation		X 890	State Statutes
2 290	All Other Real Property	9 440 Other Civil Rights		7 791	Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		√ 990	Other Statutory Actions

Case 2:02-cv-02842 Baction Dagueran Religion 05/13/2002 Page 2 of 15

	28 USC '1452(A) '1334(B) and	d Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9	9027			
	REQUESTED IN DMPLAINT:	CHECK IF TH	HIS IS A CLASS ACTION C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	Check YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: 9 YES	9 NO
VIII.	RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See Instructions):	JUDGE N/A	DOCKET NUMBER	N/A
DATE		SIGNATUR	E OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR (OFFICE USE ONLY IPT #	_ AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

Case 2:02-cv-02842-RB Document 1 Filed 05/13/2002 Page 3 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA C DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address New Je	of Plaintiff: Louis and Constance DeVito, 208 Park St., For the property of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal Property of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure	l, Inc., C	Colun	nbia Road and P		ue, Morristown,	
riace o	(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	III F CIIIIS	syrva	ilia aliu eisewiie	10		
Does th	is case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?			Yes X		No G	
RELATI	ED CASE IF ANY						
Case Nu Civil cas	mber: N/A Judge N/A es are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	_ Date Tog g question	ermin ons:	ated: N/A			
	. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					No G	
	2. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a yes G No G prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
e	ooes this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alrearlier numbered case pending or within one year previously termin				Yes G	No G	
CIVIL:	(Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
1. GGGGGGGGG 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	G Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Jones ActCPersonal Injury Antitrust Face Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases			B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. G Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. G Airplane Personal Injury 3. G Assault, Defamation 4. G Marine Personal Injury 5. G Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. G Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. G Products Liability 8. G Products Liability CAsbestos 9. G All Other Diversity Cases (Please specify)			
	ARBITRATION CERT (Check appropriate C)N			
I, Peter	J. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:						
X G	recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000	•		-	damages		
DATE: _	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law		<u>7601</u>	Attorne	y I.D. #		
I certify action ir	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any can this court except as noted above.					iously terminated	
DATE:			27601				
CIV. 609	Attorney-at-Law		_, 001	Attorne	y I.D. #		

Case 2:02-cv-02842-RB Document 1 Filed 05/13/2002 Page 4 of 15

 $FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA\ C\ DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case$ for the purpose of assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Louis and Constance DeVito, 208 Park Address of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied S			
New Jersey 07962 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Expos (Use Reverse S	sure in Pennsylvania and elsewhere Gide For Additional Space)		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes X No G		
RELATED CASE IF ANY			
Case Number: N/A Judge N/A Union Judge N/A Lases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following t	Date Terminated: <u>N/A</u> lowing questions:		
4. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered one year previously terminated action in this court?	I suit pending or within Yes G No G		
5. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of th prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated act			
6. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously to Court?			
CIVIL: (Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
Federal Question Cases: G Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts G FELA G Jones ActCPersonal Injury G Antitrust G Patent G Labor-Management Relations G Civil Rights G Habeas Corpus G Securities Act(s) Cases G Social Security Review Cases C All Other Federal Question Cases (please specify) C Social Security Review Cases C Social Security Review Cases (please specify) C Insurance Contract and Other Contracts C Airplane Personal Injury C Assault, Defamation C Marine Personal Injury C Motor Vehicle Personal Injury C Other Personal Injury C Products Liability C Products Li			
ARBITRATION C	ERTIFICATION		
(Check appropri			
I, <u>Peter J. Neeson, Esquire</u> , counsel of record do hereby certify:			
 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$15 Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DATE: Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	<u>27601</u> Attorney I.D. #		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to a action in this court except as noted above.			
DATE:			
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609(9/99)	Attorney I.D. #		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

		STANCE DeVITO, h/w :	
	NEYW	v. : Civil Action EYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. :	
in ii et a	nterest	ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor: erest to BENDIX CORPORATION, :	
shal and the with mar	I comp serve event to its fir	ordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this complete a Case Manage Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the erve a copy on all defendants. (See ' 1:03 of the plan set forth on the resent that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designs first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff gement track designation form specifying the track to which that defendanced.	time of filing the complain verse side of this form.) In nation, that defendant shall and all other parties, a case
SEI	LECT	ECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK	S:
	(a)	a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. ' 2441 through	h ' 2255()
	(b)	b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Sec and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.()	retary of Health
	(c)	c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under 8.()	Local Civil Rule
	(d)	d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or propert exposure to asbestos.()	y damage from
	(e)	e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracts (a) thro commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explana management cases)(X)	management by
	(f)	f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the	e other tracks.()
	(Date	Date) Attorney-at-law Attorneys for International, Inc., f/k/ in interest to Bendix	Defendant Honeywel' a Allied Signal as successor

Case 2:02-cv-02842-RB Document 1 Filed 05/13/2002 Page 6 of 15

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL,

SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO

BENDIX CORPORATION

INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE

JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE

INC.,

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250

THE WIDENER BUILDING ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107

(215) 575-4200

R&H No.: 516,184 (Anapol)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [EASTERN DISTRICT]

LOUIS DeVITO and

CONSTANCE DeVITO, h/w :

•

:

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No.

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor: in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION

NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C 1452 AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., (AHoneywell®), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation (ABendix®), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson IIP, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Louis and Constance DeVito v. Pneumo Abex Corp., et al., now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Bucks, with April Court Term and Number 02-90043-18-2. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. '1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

- 1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C.

 ' 101 et seq. (the ABankruptcy Code®) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.
- 2. The above referenced state action, which is Arelated to® the removed claims, was commenced on April 11, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Bucks County.

- 3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the ABendix® line of automotive friction products.
- 4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as codefendants of Honeywell in this action.
- 5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.
- 6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a crossclaim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.
- 7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.
- 8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 1452(a). Removal of these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are Arelated to® Federal-Mogul=s bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) Arelated to® subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor co-defendants against the debtor).
- 9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are Arelated to® the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading

setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.

- 10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4th Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.
- 11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. 157(b)(5).
- 12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 157 (b)(5) (the ATransfer Motion®), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a <u>Daubert</u> hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) (1994); <u>Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 509 U.S. 579 (1993); <u>In re Dow Corning Corp.</u>, 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are Arelated to® a debtor=s bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). <u>See</u> Exhibit AA.®
- 13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the AProvisional Order®), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit AB.®
- 14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the AClarification Order®), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit AC.®

- 15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the ARemand Order®), granting plaintiffs= Motion for Remand.

 See Exhibit AD.®
- 16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the AStay Order®), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit AE.®
- 17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the AStay Clarification Order®), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit AF.®
- 18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the AScheduling Order®). See Exhibit AG.®
- 19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that A[t]he temporary stay entered by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in effect until further order of the merits panel.® See Exhibit AG.®
- 20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court=s provisional transfer order is still the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally transferred automatically to Delaware.
- 21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiner=s Order dated April 12, 2002 (the AAbstention/Remand Order®) Aall pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without prejudice . . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the determination of the bankruptcy issyes by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto.® See Exhibit AH.®

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit AI@, a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant=s Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Lawrence R. Cohan, Esquire
ANAPOL SCHWARTZ WEISS COHAN FELDMAN & SMALLEY
1900 Delancey Place
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Pneumo Abex Corporation, Dana Corporation and Georgia Pacific Corporation

John F. Kent, Esquire Kent & McBride, P.C. Two Logan Square, Suite 600 18th and Arch Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for A-Best Products

Robert W. Rowan, Esquire Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C. Two Penn Center, 16th Floor 15th and JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for AC&S, Inc.

M. Douglas Eisler, Esquire
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP
The Curtis Center, Suite 1130 East
Independence Square West
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for A.W. Chesterton

Joseph M. O'Neill, Esquire Marks, O'Neill, Reilly, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C. 1880 JFK Blvd., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Airco Welders Supply

Daniel J. Ryan, Jr., Esquire Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 1845 Walnut St. Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Bondex International, Inc. and Durametallic Corporation

Timothy B. Barnard, Esquire
Barnard, Mezzanotte & Pinnie
Williamson House
218 W. Front Street
P.O. Box 289
Media, PA 19063-0289

Attorney for Borg Warner Corporation

Norman L. Haase, Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 115 North Jackson Street Media, PA 19063

Attorney for Brand Insulations, Inc. and Eutectic Corporation

Michael P. Creedon, Esquire Creedon & Feliciani 29 E. Marshall Street Norristown, PA 19401

Attorney for Burnham Boiler Corporation

John J. Delany, III, Esquire
Delany & O'Brien
Constitution Place
325 Chestnut Street, Suite 1212
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for Combustion Engineering

Walter H. Swayze, III, Esquire
Segal, McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.
1515 Market Street, Suite 450
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Congoleum Corporation

Joseph R. Glancy, Esquire
Stack & Stack
1600 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Crown, Cork & Seal

Tracey M. McDevitt, Esquire Reilly, Janiczek & McDevitt, P.C. The Widener Building, Suite 520 One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107

Attorney for Foster Wheeler Corporation

Fredric L. Goldfein, Esquire Goldfein & Hosmer 1600 Market Street 33rd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Garlock, Inc.

Daniel Segal, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for General Refractories Company

Sharon L. Caffrey, Esquire Duane Morris LLP One Liberty Place, Suite 4200 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7396 **Attorney for IMO Industries**

Eric J. Kadish, Esquire
McCarter & English
Mellon Bank Center
1735 Market Street, Suite 700
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorney for Owens Illinois, Inc.

Mark MacDonald, Esquire
Jenkins Robinson Wolf & Rubinate
Public Ledger Building

Sixth and Chestnut Streets, Suite 500 150 South Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for Palmetto Products

C. James Zeszutek, Esquire Thorp, Reed & Armstrong One Oxford Centre, 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425 **Attorney for Pfizer, Inc.**

Richard C. Polley, Esquire Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402

Attorney for Rapid American and Sager Corporation

R. Thomas McLaughlin, Esquire Kelly, McLaughlin & Foster 1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1690 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Riley Stoker

Bruce S. Haines, Esquire Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin One Logan Square Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Uniroyal, Inc.

Bruce H. Bikin, Esquire
Gail A. Weber, Esquire
Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads
123 South Broad Street
Philadelphia, Pa 19109
Attorney for Magnetek, Inc.

RAWLE & HENDERSON, LLP

BY:

Peter J. Neeson

Date: